WAC presentation

# **WACnGO Telemetry system**

# **Presentation & Case Study**

2016



#### WACnGO companies portfolio



#### WAC Advantages

#### **System Targets and added values:**

- Logistic optimization:
  - Drop size increase up to 35%
  - Reduce no. of trucks & deliveries
- Eliminate "Out of gas" events
- Improve company Image
- Green and sustainable solution
- Prevent & detect fraud



#### Jupiter System – End-to-End Architecture



#### Jupiter Solution

#### Main Equipment

JupiterProb Unit + Meter reading capability







RFID technology: Smart ring + Smart WGT

Jupiter Mobile MDT (Cabin computer)





## WAC Telemetry advantages

#### **Jupiter Telemetry unit:**

- Reliability More than 15,000 worldwide installations over 12 countries
- Quality & Durability Robust, Small, Durable, LPG oriented telemetry unit
- One unit Monitor Up to 3 tanks and 1 meter.
- Cellular GSM text based with two way communications (Remote configuration)
- Battery Operated up to 10 years (1 message a week)
- Online generated alerts
- Few minutes only to install.







#### Jupiter Solution

#### **Jupiter III – Telemetry unit installation**



#### WAC System advantages

#### Jupiter System:

- TTF Time To Fill Advanced algorithm to calculate days to threshold point
- User friendly web interface also supporting smartphones
- Unit Configuration by the company.
- Interface to any Back Office System (ERP)
- Map view Colors per level or TTF.
- Reports generation to excel.

Link to demo:

- Various online Email real-time alerts.
- Groups & Authorization levels per user
- Customer web interface manage his sites only



## ROI – less then 2 years return on investment

#### **ROI - parameters:**

- At least 35% saving on logistical costs Drop Size increase by 30% to 40%.
- Stock savings
- Emergency trip savings
- Detecting fraud savings
- Third party filling savings
- Manpower Savings
- Benefit from smart purchasing
- Selling monthly service to end customer



## System screens: Main Bulks Monitoring Screen

Dispa	vispatching Inventory Technician 9429 🛪						4	🔅 2 WAC Develo			
Ç,		🚛 🌄							_		S /
Group (	Maua	Sub Group All	Locati	on All		F< [	•	Un monitored 📗	] (	O Q Map Tal	) ble
Cu	stomer 🔶	10	) 🗢	% 🔶 Las	st Update ≑	TTF (Days) 🔺	Req(kg)	Present Level	%	🖡 Last Fill-up kg	X
<u>er</u> 🗆		(1)	875905-975945	62%	23/02/2012 06:07	3.6	60	6	1%	08/07 - 65	^
<u>e</u>	±	(3)	21355-34973	46%	23/02/2012 06:06	3.8	298		5%	20/09 - 0	
<u>e</u>	±	(2)	15156-19604	66%	23/02/2012 10:09	3.9	815	6	5%	01/01 - 0	
<u>e</u>	±	(2)	65103-89259	24%	23/02/2012 05:54	4.2	308	2	4%		
<u>er</u> 🗆	±.	(3)	20297-33864	80%	21/02/2012 06:07	4.1	166	6	2% 🧕	27/10 - 121	
<u>e</u>	<b>⊞</b>	(2)	9475-13462	58%	23/02/2012 06:03	4.1	143	- E	6%	24/01 - 135	
<u>e</u> , 🗆	±	(2)	9123-12927	80%	23/02/2012 08:14	4.4	27	7	9%	17/02 - 261	
<u>i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i </u>	±	(1)	451279-560378	54%	23/02/2012 06:06	4.5	80		3%	11/01 - 124	
<u>B</u>	±	(2)	21902-35548	55%	23/02/2012 10:07	4.9	149		5%	17/01 - 237	
<u>e</u>	±	(2)	9123-44537	63%	21/02/2012 17:03	5.0	154		4% 🧕	14/01 - 209	
<u>e</u>			294986-395471	67%	23/02/2012 06:05	5.0	808	6	6%	04/10 - 921	0
<u>B</u>		(3)	429483-538020	41%	23/02/2012 07:09	5.3	332	4	1%	22/02 - 167	
<u>e</u>		(3)	732820-832820	82%	22/02/2012 17:13	5.3	68	7	5% 🧕	01/01 - 0	E
<u>B</u>	±	(3)	887741-987781	81%	22/02/2012 06:46	5.4	96	7	2% 🧕	14/02 - 423	
<u>e</u>	±	(9)	147259-213055	36%	23/02/2012 06:09	5.4	1109	3	6%	19/01 - 1,065	
<u>B</u>	±	Dec.84004800.04_(1)	889849-989889	9%	22/02/2012 17:08	5.5	195		8% 🧕	31/12 - 68	
<u>e</u>	Ð	(6)	961430-1066872	42%	23/02/2012 05:49	5.6	648		2%	13/01 - 450	
<u>i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i </u>		(3)	20643-34225	38%	20/02/2012 17:10	5.8	374	3	5% 🧕	06/01 - 230	0
<u>e</u>		<u>(2)</u>	145717-208041	38%	23/02/2012 06:06	5.8	237	3	8%	18/01 - 156	
<u>e</u>	±		717289-817289	89%	23/02/2012 07:03	172.0	17	8	9%	27/01 - 182	
<u>e</u> , 🗆	±	(1)	1054213-1153413	55%	23/02/2012 06:03	6.4	51		4%	27/01 - 51	
Next	FI Showing 1.	.65 sites from total of 198 s	23135_3128611 Sites	58%	23/02/2012 06·00	6.9	69		7%	20/01 - 122	-
Send To	Erp				🔘 Eng	glish 💌	Version: 4.0	.6.7 WIF			AC

#### System screens: Management Tools- Dashboard



#### Increasing Logistic Efficiency



#### Case study - Scope

## **Case study Scope and targets**

- ✓ Installation of 200 JupiterProb units pilot
- ✓ Implementation of Jupiter System and migration to standard work scheme
- ✓ Starting to work with Telemetry after 2 months – learning the system behavior
- ✓ Case studies of JupiterProb units results



### Case Study of Jupiter system –pilot 2014



1 tank fillup by months

Average by Month							
Month	Start	End	Drop size				
February	40	82.8	42.8				
March	36.8	83.0	46.3				
April	31.9	83.3	51.4				
May	28.4	83.1	54.7				



### Case Study of fill-ups

>1 tank fillup by month



Month	Start 1	End 1	Drop 1	Start 2	End 2	Drop 2	Average drop
March	40.5	82.7	42.2	38.1	83.4	45.4	43.8
April	35.4	83.6	48.2	30.9	83.2	52.3	50.2
May	31.2	83.8	52.6	25.9	82.4	56.5	54.6

## Summary of fill up

## After 4 months of testing WAC system:

- Drop Size: increase of 27.8%
- Sites with stuck sensors detected and fixed
- Improvement of logistic optimization routing due to system



#### examples Improvements

# Low drop size prior to using WAC system compared to larger drop sizes working with WAC system



#### Example Improvement

#### Drop size improving when using WAC system





#### Theoretic Example for achieving ROI

#### <u>Theoretic example – Truck routing</u> Filling 6 sites of 2000 Gallon tanks with 10% drop size compared to filling 1 site of 2000 Gallon with 60% drop size

Option 1	Fill up %	Fill Time T	Overhead T	Drive T	Total T	Accumulated T
Site 1	10%	4.2	4	0	8.2	8.2
Site 2	10%	4.2	4	7	15.2	23.4
Site 3	10%	4.2	4	7	15.2	38.6
Site 4	10%	4.2	4	7	15.2	53.8
Site 5	10%	4.2	4	7	15.2	69
Site 6	10%	4.2	4	7	15.2	84.2
Total		25.2	24	35	84.2	
Option 2	Fill up %	Fill Time T	Overhead T	Drive T	Total T	Accumulated T
Site 1	60%	25.2	4	7	36.2	36.2
Total		25.2	4	7	36.2	

